

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER

06/08/2007

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/517,114 | 12/03/2004 | Vicau Tang | 09669/041001 | 3129 |
| 22511 7590 06/08/2007 OSHA LIANG L.L.P. 1221 MCKINNEY STREET | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | PHAM, TUAN | |
| SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, TX | UITE 2800 IOUSTON, TX 77010 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ŕ | | | 2618 | |
| | | | | |
| • | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Augliophian No. | Applicant(a) | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 10/517,114 | TANG ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | TUAN A. PHAM | 2618 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet w | vith the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUN. .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become | ICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 | <u>March 2007</u> . | | | | | |
| ·— | ,— | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | t t t | | | | | |
| 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir | ner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac | ccepted or b) objected t | b by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to th | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre | • | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I | =xaminer. Note the attach | ed Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document | nts have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri | | in received in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachmont/c | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) T Interview | v Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper N | o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The language of the claim raises a question as to whether the claim is directed merely to an abstract idea that is not tied to a technological art, environment or machine which would result in a practical application producing a concrete, useful, and tangible result to form the basis of statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim 7, claims the non-statutory subject matter of a program. Data structures not claimed as embodied in computer-readable media are descriptive material per se and are not statutory because they are not capable of causing functional change in the computer. See, e.g., Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1754 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory). Therefore, since the claimed programs are not tangibly embodied in a physical medium and encoded on a computer- readable medium then the Applicants has not complied with 35 U.S.C 101.

Art Unit: 2618

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. <u>Claims 1-3, and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johansson (Pub. No.: US 2001/0015977) in view of Hahn (Pub. No.: US 2004/0166843).</u>

Regarding claims 1, 5, 7, and 8, Johansson teaches a communication device, a server, a computer program, and an integrated circuit card being arranged to communicate with a server (see figure 1, SMS-C server 30) via a first communication network (GSM network) and to communicate with the server via a second communication network (GPRS network) wherein the communication device comprises functionality to:

Art Unit: 2618

receive a management request instruction from the server via the first communication network (see figure 1, mobile 20 receive SMS from the SMS server 30 via GSM network, [0043-0051]), and

execute the management request instruction (see [0043-0051], the mobile 20 execute an application to extract an activation code) which cause the communication device to request the server to effect an operation in the communication device via the second communication network (see [0043-0051], if the activation code is found, mobile 20 effect a push operation for receiving packet data via the GPRS network from the push server 50).

It should be noticed that Johansson fails to teach automatically content downloading into a communication device via a second network. However, Hahn teaches such features (see figure 1, second network WLAN, the mobile phone 7 download the data from the internet via a second network WLAN, [0023]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Hahn into view of Johansson in order to prevent the data loss when the handover occurs as suggested by Hahn at [0033].

Regarding claims 2 and 6, Johansson further teaches the GSM network and a GPRS network (see figure 1, GSM and GPRS).

Regarding claim 3, Johansson further teaches SMS (see [0045]).

Art Unit: 2618

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Johansson (Pub. No.: US 2001/0015977) in view of Hahn (Pub. No.: US

2004/0166843) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Emmerson et al.

(U.S. Pub. No.: 2002/0183045, hereinafter, "Emmerson").

Regarding claim 4, Johansson and Hahn, in combination, but fails to discloses security protocol. However, Emmerson teaches such features (see [0044]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Emmerson into view of Johansson and Hahn in order to protect the information downloaded from the network to the wireless device.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In order to expedite the prosecution of this application, the applicants are also requested to consider the following references. They are not applied into this Office Action; they are also called to Applicants attention. They may be used in future Office Action(s).

Lipsanen et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2005/0043020) disclosed mobile telecommunication network and digital broadcasting service.

Dowling et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 7,215,947) disclosed geographical web browser for downloading.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,114 Page 6

Art Unit: 2618

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan A. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-8097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have question on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Technology 2600 Art Unit 2618

June 7, 2007

Examiner

Tuan Pham